

**Truth Test for Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin of Liskeard, Cornwall – aka ‘Miriam’ the self-styled end-times-prophetess – and numerous other pseudonyms..**

(Last Updated March 2010)

*I personally regret having to construct this document, but in the face of unrelenting personal attacks, and the ongoing reticence / failure / inability of the UK justice system to take effective and timely action in protecting us from the ravages of this utterly duplicitous individual, I decided it was time to take matters in hand and state the facts as they are – with whatever evidence I can link to as we go.*

*It is practically impossible to keep up with the ever-ascending tiers of deception and guile that pours forth from this woman, so may I ask visitors to please check out the links provided and test the evidence for themselves – so there can be no more doubts as to this woman’s capacity for malice and deception.*

*The main point to note throughout is the callous and premeditated ‘projection’ of Rosalind Miriam Franklin’s own dark motives, methods, and character onto those she accuses, (this is a recognized psychological trait in the study of criminal psychology); and her prolific use of pseudonyms and other false identities to pursue amoral ends.*

*Please feel free to circulate or share this material with anyone who may benefit from its dissemination. Meanwhile, we wait.. and wait.. and wait for the Courts to do what we have been waiting for the UK Justice System to do since 2006!*

*Important update Nov 2009: Mrs Franklin and her husband Nigel appear in Court in Torquay, and are found to be liable in two of the original cases. Several other cases are successfully brought in other jurisdictions, but because many are independent, the details are not readily available here.*

March 2010 – Mrs Franklin launches a slew of defamatory websites designed to cause as much damage as possible to those of us who have exposed her. Three are immediately taken down by the host providers, but one or two remain online. Under various guises, Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin links into these wbpages in yet another contrived attempt to exact some sort of perverse revenge on her challengers. Due to repeated taunts and provocations to ‘debunk’ those lies, this ‘Truth Test’ document contains some updated additions in the boxes below, as well as an addendum headed ‘Websmear Facts’ which briefly summarises the lies and attempted deceptions there (please scroll to bottom of the document for the condensed rebuttal).

<b>Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin of Diggory Press Says / Claims / Accuses..</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be an ‘ethical, Christian’ businessperson	Mrs F has displayed that she is an unconscionable exploiter of people, and will stoop to any level of duplicity to achieve her questionable aims.	100-plus written complaints from ex-clients would suggest she is not a particularly ethical person – nor a sterling example of what most would consider ‘Christian’ behaviour. As of 2009, major publishing outlets are so enamoured by her ethics, that Mrs Franklin and her various ‘businesses’ are

	Now proven to be a thief and a liar in UK Courts.	now officially blacklisted.
Makes many promises to authors on her website	Most are hollow ruses – designed primarily to generate consumer confidence, and help separate prospects from their money. 2020: Five false or misleading websites designed to defraud authors have now been shut down.	Mrs F also operates false-front websites with skewed and misleading commentaries from nonexistent ‘independent authors’ in order to draw authors to her publishing site, such as; <a href="http://selfpublishingnews.wordpress.com">selfpublishingnews.wordpress.com</a> (taken down in May 2009) Note: Two screenshots on the Rogues Gallery website still exist as evidence, but Mrs F has somehow managed to block access to the archives, May 2009
States that ‘all royalties are being paid’, and ‘all work continues as normal’	Direct, premeditated lies I’m afraid – April 2009. Ex-authors report receiving a generic email to this effect – but no payments.. 2010: Based on sales figures for books that have been ‘recovered’ and republished by CheckPoint Press, it now seems that Mrs Rosalind Franklin has bilked tens of thousands of pounds out of unsuspecting authors.	Authors such as Max Brand featured on the Diggory website as the author of certain ‘new titles’ are deceased since 1944.. the front page displaying new titles remains unchanged since Jan 2008 <a href="http://www.diggorypress.com/">http://www.diggorypress.com/</a>
Claims she can publish your book for £30	Impossible unless the publisher subsidizes the cost..	Just one of many deceptive marketing ‘hooks’ to lure unsuspecting authors. Set-up fees and legal deposit copies even for print-ready files have to be paid for, for example..
Claimed that Diggory Press books sold 200 times higher than the average..	She has never substantiated this claim – which would be quite incredible if it were true – given that most Diggory / Exposure books fall well short of ‘professional’ publishing standards..	Another deceptive ruse designed to lure customers.. <a href="http://www.diggorypress.com/publish-with-diggory-press-i-1.html">http://www.diggorypress.com/publish-with-diggory-press-i-1.html</a>
Offered ‘editing services’ for a fee..	Her own spelling and grammar is regularly peppered with errors.. she has no editorial qualifications whatsoever – as many disappointed writers will testify	One of the give-aways to her many online identities are the repeated spelling errors on certain words..
Offered ‘marketing packages’ for fees of up to £2,000	These contrived ‘packages’ come more-or-less automatically with an ISBN; cost the publisher absolutely nothing; and are sold knowingly under a deliberate deception..	See ‘A Note of Caution’ here on the CheckPoint Press website: <a href="#">Warning to Authors</a> Mrs Franklin has engaged in a premeditated act of fraud here, knowing it will cost the authors all the costs involved in printing, distributing, hosting, and returning/recycling any unsold books. The POD publishing model simply doesn’t function in this respect..
Claims hundreds of satisfied authors..	Franklin wrote many of the endorsements herself	Screenshots of fabricated books when she first launched Diggory as a business in 2005-06 – pretending those books were ‘works-in-progress’..

Claims to be honest..	A close family relative of Mrs Franklin saw the article about the court cases in the Guardian Newspaper, and called the reporter advising that they too had been defrauded..!	We're hoping this person will agree to be a material witness in Court..
Summer 2009 – maintains that Diggory Press / Exposure Publishing is 'still in business'..	No 'real' business activity on the website for months, and a defrauded author who is pressing his case independently advises that Mrs Franklin has been officially 'blacklisted' by the major industry players..	Meanwhile, a 'new' company called Author's Chance Ltd is mysteriously set up as the new owner of around 800 Diggory / Exposure titles. Mrs Franklin's name appears nowhere on the new company records, but the named director (Mr Michael Thomas Gordon) already holds 51 other directorships – most in dormant / offshore companies, and lives less than 10 miles from the original address of Meadow Books. For more information see <a href="#">Author's Chance Ltd</a>
Feb 2010	Diggory Press is gone from the internet – thank goodness!	All the major book industry people have been informed of the issues concerning Diggory / Exposure / Meadow / Authors Chance etc.. So no excuse if they keep trading with them.
<b>As the defendant in several Court cases, Rosalind Franklin states:</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be actively suing us claimants in UK Court..	Technically untrue – she is countersuing – not suing. Contrary to all her assertions, she remains the defendant in ALL known cases..	2 letters from RF; the first one begging the Court to reverse a decision so she can bail out, and the second repeating that she will withdraw her counterclaims if the Court strikes out our claims. Why would she ask for this if she has such a solid case and is so eager to take us all to task etc..?
Claims WE are not following the Court rules – but she is..	Untrue again: Mrs F was ordered to submit an amended counterclaim in Dec 2008, was then reminded at least 4 times, and eventually ordered again to do so in April 2009.. Has breached the rules many, many times now..	This is more typical Franklin-obfuscations designed to divert attention from the central issues and try to blacken our characters. We claimants <i>want</i> our day in Court asap – so we can get some justice at last.. but unfortunately, all these systemic delays give Mrs F more time to prepare evasion tactics.. <a href="#">Franklin (defendant) ordered to comply with court order</a>
Claims the Judge(s) have 'seen through our folly' etc etc	Misdirection and lies again. In fact, it was Mrs Franklin who was threatened with a Civil Restraint Order from one district Judge, and was basically called a liar (in more legalistic terms) by a more senior Judge in another Court.	See screenshots of Court documents on the Rogues Gallery
Claims she will be 'fully vindicated' in Court..	Mrs F failed to appear at a hearing in Liverpool (in 2009) and had judgement awarded against her..	This followed multiple attempts by Mrs F to stall or circumvent the legal process – including being directed into supposed 'mediation' with the

	Liverpool Judge says to claimant; "Tell the others your case succeeded."	claimant. Mediation fails when Mrs F demands that the claimant lodge a statement denouncing a true article in The Times newspaper..
2: Claims she will be 'fully vindicated' in Court..	As of March 2010, it certainly hasn't happened yet, despite at least 23 claims being lodged against her..	We know of NO successful counterclaim against any claimant who has taken Mrs Franklin in Court., and at the Nov 2009 hearing at Torquay, Mrs Franklin was admonished several times by the Judge for being dishonest in one way or another..
Claims we are rubbishing the Courts in the media..	Absolute lie repeated to the Court several times designed to curry favour with the Judge – hopefully he won't swallow this nonsense..	Can anyone can find anything supporting this charge in the articles written by The Times, The Guardian, or Private Eye Magazine..?
Claims she lodged police complaints against certain claimants..	Technically, true. But those complaints were vexatious fabrications in response to our original genuine complaints. As well as falsely accusing two other respected claimants of fraud, making death threats etc, Mrs F's complaints were absolutely and completely without merit, and will be dealt with in Court in due course.. (we hope)..	One named claimant who is happy to discuss this matter is Sandra Lean (PhD) of the Scottish Centre for Criminal Justice. <a href="http://www.sccjr.ac.uk/">http://www.sccjr.ac.uk/</a>
Accuses others of being 'fakes' 'charlatans' and 'deceivers'..	Interesting, considering it is Mrs Franklin who uses multiple online identities to mislead, deceive, and accuse..	List of known or suspected false identities can be found here. <a href="http://checkpoint.ie/RFVariousAliases.pdf">http://checkpoint.ie/RFVariousAliases.pdf</a>
Accuses others of being mentally unsound..	Ahem.. I will let the reader come to their own conclusions on this one..	Links to some of these accusations <a href="http://sleuthfortruth.blog.com/tag/cyber-stalking/">http://sleuthfortruth.blog.com/tag/cyber-stalking/</a> (This website has since been taken down for breaching t & C's – specifically defamation issues)
Accuses others of being associated with 'religious cults' etc	Mrs F's website is (or was) affiliated with the Worldwide Church of God, before she appointed herself a prophet, I presume, and has published books for the Reachout Trust	Personal note: What is the point of a religious belief if it doesn't make us better people, or doesn't help bring us together? 'Love thy neighbour..?' Link to the wikipedia page for the <a href="#">Reachout Trust</a> which attacks several religious groups
Attacks my own interfaith connections	I studied world religions at the Master's and PhD level, and am proud to count members of many diverse religious groups as well-respected, life-long friends.	My current views lean towards the understanding that the actual discovery of Universal Truth(s) rather than the simple acceptance of often-unfounded and divisive religious beliefs is the way to go. Feel free to buy the book(s) <a href="#">..Confronting Religious Dysfunction (book)</a>
Claims to have been 'religiously persecuted'	Certainly not by me, unless asking what type of Christianity allows her to behave as she does is 'persecution'. More psychological projections I'm afraid	As 'Miriam' the end-times-prophetess, Mrs F attacks and persecutes others on religious grounds on a daily basis, and has made scores of highly prejudicial and insulting remarks about others' religious affiliations.. Literally (no exaggeration) over 1,000 individuals are castigated on her ETP site..
Attacks my personal	Another tactic often used by the guilty to try to	My work resume, academic credentials and personal views are all freely

credentials and character	defray attention from the real issues	accessible online. No hidden identities; no evading the facts; and all supported by testimonials, photos, and other documents.. <a href="#">Color of Truth website</a> <a href="#">References &amp; Testimonials</a> <a href="#">Academic Credentials</a> March 2010: site being moved to <a href="http://checkpoint.ie/page4.html">http://checkpoint.ie/page4.html</a>
Claims to have received 'death threats'	Certainly not from me. But I have several written records where Mrs F makes various threats against others, in various guises	Before she lodged the accusations of death threats, Mrs Franklin herself stated online (referring to me); "He well knows his days are numbered". This again suggests she is 'projecting' her own sinister intentions onto others.
Claims we are conducting an 'orchestrated campaign of harassment, blackmail, extortion' etc etc..	17 of us originally grouped together as a victim's support group in order to offer mutual support in the face of incredible arrogance, rudeness, false accusations, intimidation, deception and evasiveness on Mrs F's part.	Mrs F got this ball rolling by attacking us online unprovoked, including posting many lies and false accusations. We simply tried to defend ourselves. Arguably, it is in fact Mrs F's numerous online identities engaged in attacking the claimants (and myself in particular) that constitute the real 'orchestrated campaign of harassment' – and as for extortion and blackmail etc.. as usual, this is more projection on Mrs F's part. Please see detail on police complaint here <a href="#">Original Police Complaint</a>
Claims she/Diggory got 16 court claims 'thrown out of Court!'	Again, not exactly true. She / Diggory had little if anything to do with the decisions of those who 'withdrew' or otherwise couldn't continue. Although 16 of us began expecting a simple small-claims procedure; several couldn't afford the international travel; two were invalid; and the remaining few decided the Court requirements and conditions (£1,000 each to proceed to multi-track) were exorbitant and inappropriately punitive.	Mrs Franklin wrote forged solicitors letters to a number of complainants, and managed to intimidate two into not pursuing their cases further. As it stands currently (May 2009) three of the original '17' continue their claims mainly because Mrs F trapped herself into the process by lodging ridiculous and unprovable counterclaims. There are also an unknown number of independent writers suing her separately. Mrs F has done her utmost to project an image of injured victim who is dedicated to securing justice. The Court system is certainly taking its time – but we remain hopeful despite the many setbacks and complications
Asserts she is NOT Miriam the prophetess	But somehow, Rosalind Franklin of Liskeard has quoted some of my messages posted privately to Miriam the prophetess' website – in Court documents – very strange?	Mrs F started making these strident denials after she had deleted the online evidence to the contrary. But as can be seen here, we can prove otherwise. Anyway, anyone with an ounce of discernment or common sense can see what's going on here
Claims I have fabricated evidence against her..	Everything I have lodged is, as far as I can discern, absolutely true. Mrs Franklin's accusations and counterclaim however, do contain more than a few big fat porkies..	Lengthy, contrived 'Memo For Neilsens' document forged and posted online in an insidious attempt to blacken my character. Has also lied numerous times about the history and facts of the case and our reasons and motives for taking her to Court
2: Claims I have fabricated evidence against her..	Mrs Franklin continually tries to find some thread or error somewhere in the thousands of pages of documents and statements made, and then	March 2010: Written statement from Nielsens denounces the above-mentioned 'Memo For Nielsens' as being sent to them by Mrs Franklin of Diggory Press, and of being 'deliberately misleading'. Nielsens also state

	attempts to use a twisted version of the facts to attack her challengers..	they did NOT respond to it or otherwise refer to it.. It is probably worth noting that Niensens will NOT be issuing any more ISBN's knowingly to Mrs F..
Claims I am a trade competitor whose main motivation for the Court action is to poach Diggory clients, and make money	Nonsense. Another devious red herring. Although I have assisted and advised where I can – including helping some defrauded authors get their books back into circulation – I have not profited from doing so.	When we started the Court action, I had only my own two books, and a friend's book of poetry published. It was basically my own private pastime. Hardly a 'business competitor' for someone claiming to have published over a thousand books.. Mrs F switches between labelling me a 'trade competitor' and 'an insignificant operation' as suits her needs..
2: Claims I am a trade competitor whose main motivation is to poach Diggory clients, and make money	Mrs Franklin, under the pseudonyms 'Inquisitor', 'Amazed', 'Sleuth-for-truth' and 'Wondereraround' continues to push this accusation online..	I invite anyone who might give some credibility to this accusation, to go to the author testimonials page on the CheckPoint Press website at: <a href="http://checkpointpress.com/testimonials.html">http://checkpointpress.com/testimonials.html</a> and contact any of the ex-Diggory authors directly for their private, first-hand testimony on the real truth behind these accusations
Claims I am a 'professional serial harasser' who is blackmailing her..	Nonsense and projection again. My only motive is to seek justice in the face of incredible duplicity and contempt.	Well, considering how much money I've managed to extort so far (£0.00) perhaps I should consider taking up another profession..?
Accuses me of doing this for profit..	Yup. I'm doing so well, that I actually qualify for fee remission on Court fees, and may have to swim over to the next hearing if things don't pick up soon..	Another calculated obfuscation designed to raise doubts about my character and motives.
Speaks of 'another company' who has also been 'targeted unjustly' by me.. in order to blackmail and harass for profit etc..	She won't name them publicly because she has enough sense not to want to be associated with them. Page Free Publishing run by Kim and Gary Blagg in the USA are well-known scoundrels with a bit more practice under their belt at fleecing authors.. I make absolutely no apologies for denouncing and exposing them online..	Nope – no money from them either.. Check them out and see for yourself.. <a href="http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/">http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/</a> <a href="http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/home.html">http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/home.html</a> <a href="#">Complaints Board 1</a> <a href="#">Complaints Board 2</a> <a href="#">RDK Press and Pagefree Complaints</a> <a href="#">Several complaints here</a> <a href="#">Group Action Attorney General Michigan USA</a>
2: Speaks of 'another company' who has also been 'targeted unjustly' by me.. in order to blackmail and harass for profit etc..	As of March 2010, PageFree Publishing has been shut down after countless reports of fraud. However, true-to-type, these scoundrels are quick to resurface under new guises: Kim and Gary Blagg have now re-invented themselves as 'Publish & Market' and 'Sage Evans Publishing',	<a href="http://www.publishandmarket.com/">http://www.publishandmarket.com/</a> where you only have to pay £1,699 for the privilege of being defrauded, and support Kim and Gary Blagg's criminal lifestyle. <a href="http://sageevanspublishing.com/">http://sageevanspublishing.com/</a> which even has a USA phone number 888 266 5417. Try asking Kim to get back to you and explain why she is still trying to defraud unsuspecting authors.

	where, surprise, surprise, they hide their real identities behind PO box numbers, anonymous answering services, and untraceable contact details etc...	We have tried to contact the Blaggs asking them to confirm or deny that they are also behind some of the 'Franklinite' aliases used to attack me in particular – and offer apparent support for Mrs Franklin online.. but of course, no response at all could be solicited..
<b>As Miraim Franklin 'the prophetess' she says / claims / accuses..</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be an end-times prophetess..	Much of the material on her site is copied from other sites	Copy-and-paste short sections of some of her 'prophecies' into your search engine, and see what comes up elsewhere.. (Hint: choose well-worded texts without spelling mistakes, as these are more likely to have been authored by other sources).
2: Claims to be an end-times prophetess..	Several of her now-disillusioned ex-supporters have denounced 'Miriam' online for making false prophecies, and for her refusal to allow critical comments on her ETP site..	One rather obvious erroneous prophecy (reported to me) was that Sarah Palin would become the next Vice-President of the USA. But we should expect to see erroneous 'prophecies' taken down, as well as more back-dated 'prophecies' go up – as 'Miriam' continues the delusional charade.
Claims to be able to forecast events	Posts get back-dated to give them the appearance of credibility..	This is the usual fare for Mrs F. There is so much delusion, deception and calculating insidiousness that it's genuinely hard to get one's head around it all.
Claims to have had 'millions' of visitors to her site..	Unlikely. In the past, Mrs F has typed in false visitor numbers on other misleading websites so as to give the appearance of high traffic..	The visitor counter on her site is probably being manipulated – because if not, it means millions are swallowing her verbiage.. scary indeed!
States (online) that I have never tried to contact her to discern her real identity..	Another direct lie. I made numerous attempts to solicit a response from 'Miriam' – but she has never once responded to me. The question is why not?	The whole endtimesprophecy site is a soap-box for Mrs Franklin's religious views and often vicious denunciation of others – it gives the false impression it is a properly-run blog. But Mrs Franklin immediately deletes any and all posts that urge her to clarify the situation.. from anyone.. go on, give it a try.. See screenshots on the Rogues Gallery
Claims Rosalind Franklin has never refused to speak to the media	First of all, how would Miriam know this sort of private detail? Secondly, either Miriam / Rosalind is lying, or respected reporters are..?	Which is more likely; that eminent reporters from The Times, The Guardian, and Private Eye Magazine have gone to print with libellous stories? ..or the incorrigible Mrs Franklin is not being wholly truthful one again?
Rubbishes others' academic qualifications and achievements..	As far as I am aware, Mrs Franklin's only credentials are her own delusional opinions of herself.. (sorry, couldn't help myself)	My own academic credentials and professional history can be viewed here <a href="#">STM professional testimonials</a> <a href="#">STM Academic Credentials</a>
Accuses me of being	Projected balderdash again, designed to tarnish	Interestingly, it is Mrs F (as author Rosalind Franklin) who has authored a

involved with the occult..	my character.	number of books in the theme of superstitions, pixies and fairies etc.. which she herself even listed under the heading 'Superstition / Occult' on the Diggory Press and Amazon websites.. and as 'Miriam' has been accused several times of dabbling with occult themes on her prophecy site.. Links to books authored by Mrs Franklin; <a href="#">Bodrick and the Magic Petals</a> <a href="#">5 superstition / magic titles by RF</a>
Stridently insists she is NOT Rosalind Franklin of Liskeard UK	Unfortunately, a 15-page diatribe supposedly authored by Miriam is carelessly signed by a certain 'R M Franklin' who just so happens to have intimate knowledge of all Diggory Press affairs..	Four subsequent supportive posts on one site from <i>Richard, Chris and Irene</i> all came from the same isp address – with the same erratic style, poor grammar and syntax.. We also have evidence of her original sign-up name as Rosalind, Rosalind Franklin and R M Franklin <a href="#">Screenshot 1</a> <a href="#">screenshot 2</a> <a href="#">Screenshot 3</a> ..see several more on the Rogues Gallery..
Uses false identities to claim 'Miriam' has been injured..	Misinformed supporters (now known as 'Franklinites') lodge supportive web-blogs..	'Peacebringer' (aka Tim Blake) is the chief protagonist. Despite mountains of evidence that his blog is being used as a deceptive tool by RMF and Co.. he can't seem to find the humility or remorse to apologise for his lack of discernment and his unjustified attacks on those of us who have exposed Mrs F.. Oh well – just more of the same it seems..
2: Uses false identities to claim 'Miriam' has been injured..	As of March 2010, directly-supportive comments from verifiable independent objective sources have apparently dried up. Those few apparently-supportive comments being lodged on pro-Franklin-type websites (or anti-Manning type sites) are clearly originating from RMF – and in any event, they refuse to verify their identities..	Update March 2010: To be fair to Mr Blake (aka Peacebringer) he HAS now publicly declared he no longer supports Mrs Franklin or acknowledges her claims (as Miriam) to be a prophetic force for good; due chiefly (I assume) to the emergence of various truths that indicate that the Rogues Gallery assertions of her illegal and immoral behaviours are most probably correct. In time, I hope to be able to report that Mr Blake has also retracted his repeated assertion that I have in some way been 'deceptive' in compiling this record. Sorry you feel that way Tim – but it simply isn't true..

I will do my best to update this pdf with more links as-and-when I have time. But please be advised that Mrs Franklin was doing all she could to eliminate the evidence before we eventually got her in front of a trial Judge. Unfortunately, most of these issues did not come up in the Nov 2009 Court hearing, but Mrs Franklin was found liable in our original claims against her, and we were rather glad to escape from this torturous ordeal with at least the moral victory.

If anyone has further questions on any related matter, please feel free to contact me and I will do my best to clarify: [stmphd@mail.com](mailto:stmphd@mail.com)

Stephen

## Web smear Facts

### A rebuttal to the contrivances on the various defamatory websites authored by Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin

Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin misleadingly declares online:

**“Proof of Stephen T Manning’s fraudulent court claims against Diggory Press and Rosalind Miriam Franklin. Illegally Using Someone Else’s Name”**

*Mrs Franklin has seized on this statement made by an elderly claimant who had been intimidated into sending this letter to the Court. I did not wish to embarrass this person by debating the facts online, and so have been reluctant to discuss this matter in public. But with Mrs Franklin’s continued provocations, false accusations and taunts to ‘rebut the evidence’ – I will now explain this particular issue as best I can, whilst continuing to protect the claimant’s full identity.*

1. Firstly, when the decision to take Diggory Press to Court was first announced, 50-or-so individuals had already contacted me privately stating they had been defrauded or otherwise ill-treated by Mrs Franklin. (By the way, that email list has now ballooned to over 300 names – 2/3 of whom are ex-Diggory authors.)
2. When I decided to take legal action against Mrs Franklin in my own case, I asked if any of those 50 authors wished to join me, so we could offer mutual support, and perhaps be witnesses for each other should the need arise.
3. Seventeen asserted they did want to join the group action, and were placed on a confidential and exclusive ‘Diggory Press Court claimants only’ email list.
4. For many weeks, we discussed the process and procedures required to advance our small claims, and I sought confirmation from all ‘the seventeen’ at each step of the process.
5. Each was required to forward the details of their complaint for listing on the claim form. In this individual’s case, the details came via email as well as a couple of lengthy phone calls.
6. For reasons that are not fully clear – but which are undoubtedly linked to Mrs Franklin’s intimidatory response to this particular individual – the person concerned changed their mind after the Court paperwork went in.

7. Whatever their reasons for doing so, the fact remains that I have a record of this individual's consent (both implied and stated) that they were 'with us all the way' etc., including the written details of the claim, and I will post those details (which also relate to two other claimants who felt obliged to pull out in the early stages) on the Rogue's Gallery.
8. If it ever becomes necessary (which I seriously doubt), those documents will be used to categorically rebut this contrived claim in Court.

**Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin misleadingly declares online:**

***“(Name edited out by STM) caught falsifying documents against Diggory Press Ltd – the actual court transcript”***

*It is not my place to comment on another person's case, but I will categorically declare that the accusations made by Mrs Rosalind Franklin in this regard are wholly and deliberately misleading, and the individual concerned (who is personally known to me) is a decent, honourable person with an impeccable personal and professional history.*

*Worthy of note however, is the fact that Mrs Franklin is only releasing partial segments of the Court transcript to shore up her attempted deceptions – as per usual.*

**Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin misleadingly declares online:**

***“Color of Truth was only printed in one version by Diggory Press, despite what has been insinuated by Manning”***

*I'm not sure how many people are really bothered by this one, but as usual, Mrs Franklin has seized upon a relatively insignificant issue (based on a deliberately misleading interpretation of my Court claim) and is attempting to rewrite the history of the case she has already lost in Court. The matter of Mrs Franklin illegally using multiple imprints for scores of book titles was the real issue; that, and the fact she registered a CheckPoint Press ISBN as if it were her own property. Again, most of the details are on the Rogues Gallery, where there is a screenshot of the printers categorically stating they do NOT print the same title under different imprints. So the question remains for Mrs Franklin to respond to truthfully: why the multiple, mutually-exchangeable imprint names of Diggory Press / Exposure Publishing / Meadow Books appearing all over the internet selling identical books? And why register three different businesses with Companies House UK with these same names? And why have they since been shut down for failure to lodge due accounts? I think that's enough of a rebuttal for this one..*

**Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin misleadingly declares online:**

**“Diggory Press v Stephen T Manning Court Case. DIGITAL FILES – THEFT AND EXTORTION”**

*Utter nonsense again. Mrs Franklin does like to throw out these wild accusations ad-infinitum; but the plain truth is that it was Mrs Franklin who engaged in theft of my money; theft of my CheckPoint Press ISBN; and theft of my book files which were supplied to her practically print-ready. Then, Mrs Franklin attempted to extort more money out of me – using my books files as the hostage so-to-speak. The Judge directly addressed this issue in Court, and agreed that this was the case.*

*Again, there’s plenty of evidence of Mrs Franklin using these tactics not only against me, but against scores of other defrauded authors. So as usual, here we see more of that classic psychological ‘projection’ typical of the irredeemable criminal mind. When caught red-handed, the tactic seems to be to create multiple diversions by accusing everyone else of one’s own sins and crimes, and then hope to slip away in the confusion. Mrs Franklin will, sooner or later, come to realise that we’re not all as stupid or gullible as she thinks.*

(More rebuttals coming soon...)